

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,259	12/22/2003	Jeffrey Dean Lindsay	KCX-736 (18588)	4457	
22827 DORITY & M	7590 04/11/2007 ANNING, P.A.		EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1449		CRAIG, F	CRAIG, PAULA L	
GREENVILLE, SC 29602-1449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3761		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	04/11/2007	PAPER		

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				<i>C.</i>		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/743,259	LINDSAY ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Paula L. Craig	3761			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAY IN THE MAILING DANS	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133)	, '		
Status						
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ja	anuary 2007				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under E	·				
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
·	Claim(s) <u>13,15-20,22-26,28-33 and 35-37</u> is/ar	e pending in the application				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15,18,19,25,28,31 and </u>	· • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ideration			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	iorare withdrawn nom cons	doration.			
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>13,16,17,20,22-24,26,29,30,33 and 3</u>	5-37 is/are reiected.	•			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<u> </u>				
8)⊠	Claim(s) 13, 15-20, 22-26, 28-33, and 35-37 ar	e subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 October 2004</u> is/are:		to by the Examiner			
دعرد.	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • •	• •	1(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	="			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	* *	<del></del>			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	, ,,				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Di				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 27, 2006 has been entered.

### Response to Arguments

2. The rejections under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, are withdrawn in light of Applicant's arguments in the response filed November 27, 2006. The rejections of Claims 14 and 27 are withdrawn as moot. As to the rejections under 35 USC 103(a) over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0173018 to Harris in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,635,798 to Yoshioka et al., Applicant has not presented any arguments for patentability. Applicant's specification does not disclose that a plurality of loops in which the loops change size as a function of distance, combined with a change in adhesive dose as a function of distance, serves any stated purpose or solves any particular problem. Applicant's specification indicates that change in loop size is equivalent to other approaches, such as changing loop density, changing loop shape, or changing from a loop pattern to a linear bead (see specification, Figs. 1 and 3, and pages 3 and

Application/Control Number: 10/743,259

Art Unit: 3761

Page 3

6-8). The claim does not require that the function be a regular function, or that the change in loop size have any particular magnitude. While Harris does not teach the size of the loops of the adhesive pattern changing as a function of distance, this feature is well known in the art. Yoshioka, U.S. Patent No. 6,436,083 to Mishima et al., and U.S. Patent No. 4,960,619 to Slautterback each teach loop size and density varying as a function of distance (Yoshioka, Figs. 1 and 4; Mishima, Fig. 1; Slautterback, Figs. 8-10). U.S. Patent No. 6,200,635 to Kwok teaches loop size and density changing as a function of distance in the cross direction (Kwok, Figs. 3-4). Note that U.S. 2001/0038039 to Schultz teaches varying the tip offset of an adhesive nozzle "on the fly" without stopping during the application of adhesive, which would change the size of the loop (Schultz, paragraphs 7, 9, and 95). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Harris to include the size of the loops changing as a function of distance, as taught by Yoshioka.

#### **Drawings**

3. The objection to Fig. 2 of the drawings is maintained for the reasons of record. Note that Fig. 2 was objected to as not being designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated; see the prior Office Action mailed January 24, 2006.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,259 Page 4

Art Unit: 3761

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 5. The rejections of Claims 13, 17, 20, 22-24, 26, 30, 33, and 35-37 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Harris (2003/0173018) in view of Yoshioka (6,635,798) are maintained for the reasons of record, as well as the reasons described above in paragraph 2.
- 6. Claims 16 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris in view of Yoshioka.
- 7. For Claims 16 and 29, Harris/Yoshioka teach all the limitations of Claim 13, as indicated above in paragraph 5. Harris teaches the adhesive filaments having a desired width (paragraph 33). Harris does not expressly teach the adhesive being applied in an amount ranging from about 1 gsm to about 100 gsm. Yoshioka teaches the adhesive being applied in an amount ranging from about 1 gsm to about 100 gsm or about 50 gsm (col. 6, lines 7-14). Adhesive amount is a result effective variable, since it affects the strength of the bonds created. The discovery of an optimum value of a result effective variable is ordinarily within the ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Boesch and Slaney*, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Art Unit: 3761

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paula L. Craig whose telephone number is (571) 272-5964. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paula L Craig Examiner Art Unit 3761

**PLC** 

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER